



Written Questions and Answers

Pharmacy Services Center Consulting

RFP UK-2575-26

Closing Date: 01/21/26

Today's Date: 01/12/2026

| No. | Question | Answer |
|-----|--|---|
| 1 | "Automation Requirements" – Can you confirm what degree of detail is desired for vendor solutions during this assessment? | The University intends for Offerors to describe automation at the functional and operational level, rather than proposing or selecting specific vendor solutions during this engagement. |
| 2 | The value of developing "conceptual layouts" varies greatly depending on if there is already a building selected. We can, and have, provided conceptual layouts to illustrate how one may choose to layout a facility based on our recommended space allocation; however, without a facility footprint it's purely hypothetical and more of a blocking exercise that can be used for consideration as a basis of design for future work. It is not a formal architectural design. Is this the intent? Is there a building (or many) under consideration already? (Related to question 8 below as well) | The intent of this RFP is to understand the configuration of the recommended space allocation to functionally and efficiently develop the proposed operations. There is currently not a building under considerations, but this information will be used to acquire or build a facility of the proper space and configuration |
| 3 | Is there an anticipated date that responses to questions would be provided back to RFP participants? | No |
| 4 | Can you please confirm the date the RFP response is due? The document states 1/22 and the RFP website lists 1/21. | See Addendum 1 |
| 5 | Confirm "respondent" does not have to identify the client specifically. Is geographic region (i.e., Midwest, New England) sufficient detail? | Geographic region is acceptable |
| 6 | Are the references requested intended to be the same or different from the project examples provided in Section 4.5 (Criteria 1)? | These can be the same if the references you intend to use are the same |

| | | |
|----|---|--|
| 7 | The last sentence in paragraph 2 of Section 4.8 appears to be cut off early. If true, is there any additional context needed? | See Addendum 1 |
| 8 | In Part 5, Facility Planning and Conceptual Design, “provide conceptual layouts and space planning options for the PCSC,” may imply there are facilities already under consideration where the PCSC may be developed. Does UKHC have facilities within their real estate portfolio that may be under consideration to support the PCSC program? | See answer to question 2 |
| 9 | “Review of 503B compounding space and operations,” is mentioned. Does UKHC operate, or have plans to establish, a 503B sterile compounding facility | UKHC does not operate or have plans to establish a sterile compounding facility |
| 10 | Please confirm the RFP due date. The cover page states 1/21/2026, and section 3.1 <u>Key Event Dates</u> indicates 1/22/2026. | See Addendum 1 |
| 11 | Please provide timeline for presentations (if applicable), contract negotiations and contract award. | Unknown currently |
| 12 | For Section 7.1, item #5: <i>“Assess potential facility locations for accessibility, logistics, and operational efficiency,”</i> could you confirm if specific locations have already been identified for evaluation, or if you would like us to assist in identifying potential locations? | See answer to question 2 |
| 13 | For Section 2: <i>“Regulatory and Compliance Integration”</i> , could you confirm if there is any intent to include sterile compounding or infusion services within the CSC (e.g., USP <797>, USP <800>) as an optional component of the engagement? | There is not an intent to include sterile compounding or infusion services within this CSC |