



REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS

UK-2861.0-1-25

TREE CELL PROJECT

ADDENDUM # 4

4/16/2025

ATTENTION: This is not an order. Read all instructions, terms and conditions carefully.

IMPORTANT: RFP AND ADDENDUM MUST BE RECEIVED BY 4/22/2025 @ 3:00 P.M. LEXINGTON, KY TIME

Offeror must acknowledge receipt of this and any addendum as stated in the Request for Proposals.

ITEM #1: Submitted Questions Answered

- Please see the attached document with answers to questions submitted on the project.

OFFICIAL APPROVAL
UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY

Brian Schwegman

Contracting Officer / (859) 257-9100

SIGNATURE

Typed or Printed Name

University of Kentucky
Procurement Services
322 Peterson Service Building
Lexington, KY 40506-0005

An Equal Opportunity University

UK-2861.0-1-25 Tree Cell Project Question and Response Log 4/14/2025					
#	Date	Question	Responder	Response	Release
1	4/14/2025	The details show a 2x tree cell system, whereas spec section 32 94 51 - 2.03 and 3.09 note a 3x tree cell system. Could you confirm which cell height is preferred?	Abbie Jones Consulting	2x	
2	4/14/2025	The details on C-401 and C-500 show a 10” perforated overdrain, whereas C-400 and C-402 show a 6” perforated overdrain. Could you confirm which pipe diameter is preferred?	Abbie Jones Consulting	6"	
3	4/14/2025	Does the bullet point under RFP section 4.9 about the average tenure of clients apply? If so, please clarify.	UK Purchasing	Optional, not required.	
4	4/14/2025	Spec 320116- Flexible Paving Rehabilitation indicates an in place recycling process. However, this requires a train of equipment several hundred feet long. In that the parking lot is only ~600 ft long there would be issues trying to accomplish this process. Additionally, there is enough full depth asphalt rework that we would need to perform regular asphalt installation anyway. Can we forgo the process described in this specification and install asphalt per the other specs?	Abbie Jones Consulting	It is acceptable to provide standard asphalt methods.	
5	4/14/2025	Geotech indicates that the silva cell area needs to be considered water retention rather than infiltration. Does this change anything with the design?	Abbie Jones Consulting	No	
6	4/14/2025	The profile of the overdrain on C-401 shows a 10" HDPE pipe, everywhere else it shows 6" which is correct?	Abbie Jones Consulting	6"	
7	4/14/2025	The paving details show both 6" of compacted subbase and 12", is the intent that there would be 12" subbase over the silva cell portion and 6" everywhere else?	Abbie Jones Consulting	Yes	
8	4/14/2025	Curbs:	Abbie Jones Consulting		
		a. Silva Cell General Details on C-500 seem to show a header curb around tree well, and on detail 7 it shows a detail of a header curb. Just want to confirm that there are header curbs around the trees 6" proud of the pervious pavers.	Abbie Jones Consulting	Yes, 6" header curbs around tree wells, 6" proud of pavers.	
		b. Paver Curb, it looks like a concrete curb that is both flush with the asphalt and the pervious pavers. However, the detail seems to show a rolled curb. Is the intent that this would basically be a flush rectangle similar to the sideview shown in "running edge of pavers" detail?	Abbie Jones Consulting	The curb transition from asphalt to pavers should be flush.	
9	4/14/2025	What is the budget?	UK Purchasing	\$364,350	
10	4/14/2025	How do we treat the locations of the new light poles? I understand that we are supposed to leave the silva cell out in the vicinity but are we supposed to go ahead and put pervious pavers overtop?	Abbie Jones Consulting	After the light pole base is set, yes, the pavers should be installed adjacent.	
11	4/14/2025	There seems to be another light pole indicated on the drawing between the third and fourth tree moving left to right. Want to confirm if we need to blackout the silva cells for this and run conduit to it.	Abbie Jones Consulting	No. That is from an older iteration and should be ignored.	
12	4/7/2025	Will geotechnical report be provided in time for proper evaluation prior to bid closing?	UK Purchasing	Yes, report was shared via addendum #3 on 4/11/2025	
13	4/7/2025	Will geotechnical report be provided prior to bid question deadline on 4/7? Or can the deadline for questions be extended for proper geotechnical evaluation.	UK Purchasing	Yes, Question deadline was moved to allow for report to be published first.	
14	4/7/2025	Section 025700 – Sinkhole remediation. If sinkhole remediation is required, will it be considered an extra with additional compensation provided?	CPM	Extra with compensation	
15	4/7/2025	Will the geotechnical report determine if sinkhole remediation is required?	CPM	No remediation required per report	
16	4/7/2025	32 94 56-2, 1.04 submittals – “Specifier: confirm the submittal time specified is appropriate for project schedule. 45 days is very short lead time for soil approval process; 6-8 weeks if more appropriate.” Will submittals be reviewed expeditiously to accommodate construction time during summer.	CPM	We plan to return the submittals within 72 hrs	
17	4/7/2025	6. 32 94 56 – 12, This page notes that the submittal process may take up to two months prior to installation of the silva cell system. This does not leave much time for construction.	CPM	We plan to return the submittals within 72 hrs	