

Written Questions and Answers

Lung Cancer Screening Application RFP UK-2327-23 Closing Date: 03/22/2023 Today's Date: 03/09/2023

No	Question	Answer
1	 To calculate pricing as requested in section 8.0, we need the following questions answered: How many patients are currently enrolled in UK Healthcare's Lung Cancer Screening Program? Can you please provide the names of all facilities that we should consider for pricing in our proposal? Across all facilities and all modalities, what was UK Healthcare's total radiology report volume in 2022 or over the last trackable 12 months (please include all facilities where this product will be used)? Are all of the facilities on the same instance of Epic, or are there multiple EMR platforms from an integration perspective? If multiple, please provide detail. 	 Approximately 1200 patients are currently enrolled UK HealthCare Chandler & UK HealthCare Good Samaritan Hospital should be included in your proposal Approximately 402,077 for Diagnostic Radiology. Interventional 10,039 and Mammography 24,197. All facilities, with respect to Lung Cancer Screening Program are on the same instance of Epic.
2	Section 4.8.1 states, "Offeror should supply names, addresses, and telephone numbers of up to one (3) reference sites." The "one" and the "(3)" are contradictory. We assume the request is for THREE reference sites and not just one. Please confirm.	Yes, it should be three (3) reference sites.
3	Please provide an approximate annual patient or case volume anticipated for the LCSP program.	Approximate Annual Patient Volume for LCSP in 2023 is 900-1000

4	Section 2.2 - Background Information - UK HealthCare Technical Landscape. "Compatibility with the UKHC landscape is a key factor in determining a solution's technical fitness with UK HealthCare." Do you have a preference for the solution vendor to host their solution at UK private or public cloud datacenters?	 Either option is acceptable assuming the implementation provides security and is performant. Cloud-based solutions may also provide opportunities to leverage cloud-native technologies that are not available in UKHC on-premises data centers. The proposal should denote whether the solution can be hosted in our private data centers or if a public cloud option is needed for key cloud-native technologies that are included in the design.
5	Section 2.2 - Background Information - UK HealthCare Technical Landscape. "Compatibility with the UKHC landscape is a key factor in determining a solution's technical fitness with UK HealthCare." We plan to provide a SaaS/IaaS solution that may not use the recommended standards and tools, but would meet the solution requirements and be compatible with, or meet/exceed, most standards and tools in use by UK. Please expand on how compatibility would be assessed for a cloud-based service solution.	The standards and tools in place at UKHC have been curated to ensure we are able to provide performant technologies, reduce our technical debt, and to meet our regulatory or statutory requirements for security. SaaS/IaaS solutions will be assessed for their ability to ensure performance, while meeting our requirements for security. If UKHC is required to license or maintain additional third-party technologies or tools as part of the solution, we will also need to evaluate these requirements as part of the technical debt we would incur with the proposed solution.
6	Section 4.6 Criteria 2 – Services Defined – 2. In reference to Section 2.2 UK HealthCare Technical Landscape, a set of current reporting and analytics tools currently in use by UK, does UK prefer that reporting come from one of these tools (Tableau/PowerBI), or would you prefer that all reports come from the solution?	 UKHC prefers solutions that include reports or dashboards that help meet the goals of the proposed solution. Typically, these are delivered through reports or dashboards included in the solution. We also have our own reporting services and solutions that provide access to data for UKHC customized reporting are favored. This helps us incorporate relevant data into our data warehouse and reporting repositories for inclusion with other enterprise reports or dashboards.
7	Are you looking for the Lung Screening solution to be on-prem or cloud hosted? Or would you like to see proposals for both?	We would like to see proposals for both options, including opportunities or limitations for each option.

8	How many different clinics will be performing CT Lung Screening? How many studies does each location perform on an annual or monthly basis?	2 CT Scanners. Th sites added. Currently all Lung C studies are perform	form the CT Lung at two primary IthCare Pav G Gill amaritan. Each have here may be future Cancer Screening ed at UKHC Pav G.
		starting this month	
10	Are you looking for incidental findings tracking as well?	Yes, capabilities for follow-up of other 'in should be described consideration is for screening.	ncidental' findings d, but the primary
11	Would you prefer web or onsite training?	Hybrid options.	
12	Total number of Epic EHR instances to integrate with?	One (1)	
13	Total number of ACR Registries to migrate data from?	Two (2)	
14	Identify each PACs Imaging System	Change HealthCare	
15	Current monthly LDCTs volume	Unable to parse dat	
16	Total CTs completed enterprise-wide over the last 12 months.	133,628 (Jan 1, 202	. ,
	Volume for each of the CPT codes below	Note: 0 volume for	
	over the last 12 months. (These are CT's	Data:01/01/2022-12	
	that capture a portion of the lung):	Cour Row Labels Desc	nt of Procedure 2
	CPT Code Name	71250	8399
	CFTCOde Name	71260	9706
		71270	15
		71271	1000
		71275	9710
		72125	7095
17		72126	182
		72127	1
		72128	4694
		72129	206
		72131	5420
		72132	304
		72133	4
		73200	782
		73201	313
		73201 73206	313 155

	— —	<u> </u>		
	71250 Ct thorax dx c-		74160	394
	71260 Ct thorax dx c+		74170	577
	71270 Ct thorax dx c-/c+		74174	5498
	71271 Ct thorax lung cancer scr c-		74175	79
	71275 Ct angiography chest		74176 74177	7298 17540
	72125 Ct neck spine w/o dye		74177	981
	72126 Ct neck spine w/dye		75574	917
	72127 Ct neck spine w/o & w/dye		75635	910
	72128 Ct chest spine w/o dye		78815	2673
	72129 Ct chest spine w/dye		78816	635
	72130 Ct chest spine w/o & w/dye		Grand Total	85676
	72131 Ct lumbar spine w/o dye			
	72132 Ct lumbar spine w/dye			
	72133 Ct lumbar spine w/o & w/dye			
	73200 Ct upper extremity w/o dye			
	73201 Ct upper extremity w/dye			
	73202 Ct uppr extremity w/o&w/dye			
	73206 Ct angio upr extrm w/o&w/dye			
	74150 Ct abdomen w/o dye			
	74160 Ct abdomen w/dye			
	74170 Ct abdomen w/o & w/dye			
	74174 Ct angio abd&pelv w/o&w/dye			
	74175 Ct angio abdom w/o & w/dye			
	74176 Ct abd & pelvis			
	74177 Ct abd & pelv w/contrast			
	74178 Ct abd & pelv 1/>regns			
	75574 Ct angio hrt w/3d image			
	75635 Ct angio abdominal arteries			
	78815 PET image w/ct skull-thigh			
	78816 PET image w/ct skull-tright			
				ad aver limit C
	Can some more details be provided for question #10 in section 4.6?		EMR systems chang 2021. Please list cor	
			historical data migra	
	Question: Describe capabilities to federate		0	
10	or combine data from multiple hospital		UKHC recently acqu	-
18	systems based on client preference.		Daughter Medical Ce working to harmoniz	
			existing data system	
			anticipate there will b	be opportunities for
			UKHC to acquire or	-
			hospitals, ambulator	y clinics, or

		healthcare services. It is vital for us to understand how data from separate, but related hospital systems can be federated within your solution to determine if your solution can serve as a single shared service or if multiple instances would be required.
19	Would data from multiple hospitals be on the same EMR or different EMRs?	Our goal at UKHC is to maintain patient data for our various hospitals in a single EMR. However, as we acquire properties, we will have situations where data is in separate EMRs.
20	Would the MRNs be the same schema or different?	MRNS will be on the Same Schema
21	If you have multiple EMRs, is there an MPI across the EMRs	Yes