

**UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY
PURCHASING DIVISION
LEXINGTON, KENTUCKY 40506-0005**

INVITATION TO BID # CCK-2561-22 DATE August 17, 2021 TIME 3:00 PM

PROJECT: 2511.8 Renew/Modernize Frazee Hall – BP#2 – All Work Categories – Renovate Existing Building and Construct Additions

BID TABULATIONS

Estimate for all trade packages from CCK-2561-22: \$8,816,699

TC-01B General Requirements

	Base Bid	Alt #1	Alt. #2	Alt. #3	Alt. #4	Alt. #5	Total
1. Churchill McGee, LLC	824,900				10,575		835,475
2. E.C. Matthews Co., Inc.	823,200				4,400		827,600
3. Jostin Construction	650,000				1,100		651,100
4. Structural Systems Repair Group	297,975						297,975
5. Woodford Builders Inc.	879,000				3,020		882,020
6.							

TC-02B Selective Demolition

	Base Bid	Alt #1	Alt. #2	Alt. #3	Alt. #4	Alt. #5	Total
1. Sunesis Environmental, LLC	263,000			3,800	11,000		277,800
2.							
3.							
4.							
5.							
6.							

This bid tabulation reflects the results of the public bid opening and reading of the respective project. It does not indicate an award has been made. The University must evaluate the responses to determine the responsive and responsible bidder whose bid offers the best value. All bids may be rejected.

TC-03A Concrete

	Base Bid	Alt #1	Alt. #2	Alt. #3	Alt. #4	Alt. #5	Total
1. E.C. Matthews Co., Inc.	721,800			(200)	34,500		756,100
2.							
3.							
4.							
5.							
6.							

TC-04A Masonry & Stone

	Base Bid	Alt #1	Alt. #2	Alt. #3	Alt. #4	Alt. #5	Total
1. Mason Structure Inc.	450,000		37,347		4,250		487,597
2. Structural Systems Repair Group	400,117		155,837		805		556,759
3.							
4.							
5.							
6.							

This bid tabulation reflects the results of the public bid opening and reading of the respective project. It does not indicate an award has been made. The University must evaluate the responses to determine the responsive and responsible bidder whose bid offers the best value. All bids may be rejected.

TC-04B Façade Restoration

	Base Bid	Alt #1	Alt. #2	Alt. #3	Alt. #4	Alt. #5	Total
1. Mason Structure, Inc.	650,000						650,000
2. Renaissance Roofing, Inc.	654,850						654,850
3. Structural Systems Repair Group	385,675						385,675
4.							
5.							
6.							

TC-05A Structural & Misc. Steel

	Base Bid	Alt #1	Alt. #2	Alt. #3	Alt. #4	Alt. #5	Total
1. Avenue Fabricating	1,097,622		12,710		499,849		1,610,181
2. Fields Welding Inc.	900,001				500,000		1,400,001
3. Ranger Steel, Inc.	1,000,210				923,920		1,924,130
4. Tomrook Steel	550,000				300,000		850,000
5.							
6.							

This bid tabulation reflects the results of the public bid opening and reading of the respective project. It does not indicate an award has been made. The University must evaluate the responses to determine the responsive and responsible bidder whose bid offers the best value. All bids may be rejected.

TC-06A Architectural Woodwork

	Base Bid	Alt #1	Alt. #2	Alt. #3	Alt. #4	Alt. #5	Total
1. The Atlas Companies	767,210				16,460		783,670
2.							
3.							
4.							
5.							
6.							

TC-07A Roofing

	Base Bid	Alt #1	Alt. #2	Alt. #3	Alt. #4	Alt. #5	Total
1. Renaissance Roofing, Inc.	789,000					89,000	878,000
2.							
3.							
4.							
5.							
6.							

This bid tabulation reflects the results of the public bid opening and reading of the respective project. It does not indicate an award has been made. The University must evaluate the responses to determine the responsive and responsible bidder whose bid offers the best value. All bids may be rejected.

TC-07B Waterproofing

	Base Bid	Alt #1	Alt. #2	Alt. #3	Alt. #4	Alt. #5	Total
1. Alpha Insulation & Waterproofing	84,750						84,750
2..							
3.							
4.							
5.							
6.							

TC-07C Metal Panel Skin

	Base Bid	Alt #1	Alt. #2	Alt. #3	Alt. #4	Alt. #5	Total
1. Kalkreuth Roofing and Sheet Metal, Inc.	741,000				854,000		1,595,000
2.							
3.							
4.							
5.							
6.							

This bid tabulation reflects the results of the public bid opening and reading of the respective project. It does not indicate an award has been made. The University must evaluate the responses to determine the responsive and responsible bidder whose bid offers the best value. All bids may be rejected.

TC-07D Fireproofing

	Base Bid	Alt #1	Alt. #2	Alt. #3	Alt. #4	Alt. #5	Total
1. Alpha Insulation & Waterproofing	57,600						57,600
2.							
3.							
4.							
5.							
6.							

TC-08A Doors, Hardware & Security

	Base Bid	Alt #1	Alt. #2	Alt. #3	Alt. #4	Alt. #5	Total
1. Schiller	302,950	74,275			27,216		404,441
2. The Atlas Companies	311,820	144,083			29,660		485,563
3.							
4.							
5.							
6.							

This bid tabulation reflects the results of the public bid opening and reading of the respective project. It does not indicate an award has been made. The University must evaluate the responses to determine the responsive and responsible bidder whose bid offers the best value. All bids may be rejected.

TC-08B Glass & Glazing

	Base Bid	Alt #1	Alt. #2	Alt. #3	Alt. #4	Alt. #5	Total
1. Central Kentucky Glass Company	593,350				47,450		640,800
2. E.C. Matthews Co., Inc.	762,100				44,700		806,800
3.							
4.							
5.							
6.							

TC-09A Drywall & Ceilings

	Base Bid	Alt #1	Alt. #2	Alt. #3	Alt. #4	Alt. #5	Total
1. Churchill McGee, LLC	641,580				81,290		722,870
2. Cleveland Construction Inc.	882,000				114,996		996,996
3. Grayhawk, LLC	859,000				209,000		1,068,000
4. Valley Interior Systems, Inc.	848,330				106,200		954,530
5.							
6.							

This bid tabulation reflects the results of the public bid opening and reading of the respective project. It does not indicate an award has been made. The University must evaluate the responses to determine the responsive and responsible bidder whose bid offers the best value. All bids may be rejected.

TC-09B Carpet & Wood Flooring

	Base Bid	Alt #1	Alt. #2	Alt. #3	Alt. #4	Alt. #5	Total
1. NO BIDS							
2.							
3.							
4.							
5.							
6.							

TC- 09C Painting, Caulking & Refinishing

	Base Bid	Alt #1	Alt. #2	Alt. #3	Alt. #4	Alt. #5	Total
1. NO BIDS							
2.							
3.							
4.							
5.							
6.							

This bid tabulation reflects the results of the public bid opening and reading of the respective project. It does not indicate an award has been made. The University must evaluate the responses to determine the responsive and responsible bidder whose bid offers the best value. All bids may be rejected.

TC-09D Ceramic Tile

	Base Bid	Alt #1	Alt. #2	Alt. #3	Alt. #4	Alt. #5	Total
1. Martina Bros Co. Inc.	36,667				2,266		38,933
2.							
3.							
4.							
5.							
6.							

TC-10A Div 10 & Accessories

	Base Bid	Alt #1	Alt. #2	Alt. #3	Alt. #4	Alt. #5	Total
1. Schiller	42,275				1,885		44,160
2. RCF Group	7,852.80						7,852.80
3. The Atlas Companies	1,550				1,550		3,100
4.							
5.							
6.							

This bid tabulation reflects the results of the public bid opening and reading of the respective project. It does not indicate an award has been made. The University must evaluate the responses to determine the responsive and responsible bidder whose bid offers the best value. All bids may be rejected.

TC-10B Demountable Partitions

	Base Bid	Alt #1	Alt. #2	Alt. #3	Alt. #4	Alt. #5	Total
1. KDA Office Furniture	224,999	8,067					233,066
2. RCF Group	279,768.79						279,768.79
3.							
4.							
5.							
6.							

TC-10C Signage

	Base Bid	Alt #1	Alt. #2	Alt. #3	Alt. #4	Alt. #5	Total
1. RCF Group	18,462.27						18,462.27
2. Schiller	10,125						10,125
3.							
4.							
5.							
6.							

This bid tabulation reflects the results of the public bid opening and reading of the respective project. It does not indicate an award has been made. The University must evaluate the responses to determine the responsive and responsible bidder whose bid offers the best value. All bids may be rejected.

TC-12A Window Treatments

	Base Bid	Alt #1	Alt. #2	Alt. #3	Alt. #4	Alt. #5	Total
1. Pelfrey Associates	25,271						25,271
2. The Blinds Man	50,000						50,000
3.							
4.							
5.							
6.							

TC-14A Elevator

	Base Bid	Alt #1	Alt. #2	Alt. #3	Alt. #4	Alt. #5	Total
1. DC Elevator	220,000						220,000
2.							
3.							
4.							
5.							
6.							

This bid tabulation reflects the results of the public bid opening and reading of the respective project. It does not indicate an award has been made. The University must evaluate the responses to determine the responsive and responsible bidder whose bid offers the best value. All bids may be rejected.

TC-21A Fire Protection

	Base Bid	Alt #1	Alt. #2	Alt. #3	Alt. #4	Alt. #5	Total
1. Dalmatian Fire	258,000				8,000		266,000
2.							
3.							
4.							
5.							
6.							

TC-23A Plumbing, HVAC, & T&B

	Base Bid	Alt #1	Alt. #2	Alt. #3	Alt. #4	Alt. #5	Total
1. Frei Mechanical, Inc.	1,715,000				47,000		1,762,000
2. H&R Mechanical	1,827,690				50,350		1,878,040
3. Lago Inc.	1,699,900				58,500		1,758,400
4. Thomas J. Dyer Company	2,046,000				48,000		2,094,000
5. TP Mechanical Contractors, Inc.	1,763,000				50,000		1,813,000
6.							

This bid tabulation reflects the results of the public bid opening and reading of the respective project. It does not indicate an award has been made. The University must evaluate the responses to determine the responsive and responsible bidder whose bid offers the best value. All bids may be rejected.

TC-23B Controls

	Base Bid	Alt #1	Alt. #2	Alt. #3	Alt. #4	Alt. #5	Total
1. Core Controls	373,000				4,600		377,600
2. Johnson Controls, Inc.	406,000				5,828		411,828
3. TES Controls	269,758				3,000		272,758
4.							
5.							
6.							

TC-26A Electrical

	Base Bid	Alt #1	Alt. #2	Alt. #3	Alt. #4	Alt. #5	Total
1. Arrow Electric Co., Inc.	1,525,000				55,600		1,580,600
2. Cutter-Pulliam Electric	1,740,000				118,000		1,858,000
3. Riverside Electrical Contractors Inc.	3,045,641				128,929		3,174,570
4.							
5.							
6.							

This bid tabulation reflects the results of the public bid opening and reading of the respective project. It does not indicate an award has been made. The University must evaluate the responses to determine the responsive and responsible bidder whose bid offers the best value. All bids may be rejected.

TC-31A Earthwork, Utilities & Hardscape

	Base Bid	Alt #1	Alt. #2	Alt. #3	Alt. #4	Alt. #5	Total
1. Lagco Inc.	1,393,900		5,000				1,398,900
2.							
3.							
4.							
5.							
6.							

TC-31B Deep Foundations

	Base Bid	Alt #1	Alt. #2	Alt. #3	Alt. #4	Alt. #5	Total
1. Lagco Inc.	420,000						420,000
2. Richard Goettle, Inc.	350,000				70,000		420,000
3. Structural Systems Repair Group	270,979				40,617		311,596
4.							
5.							
6.							

This bid tabulation reflects the results of the public bid opening and reading of the respective project. It does not indicate an award has been made. The University must evaluate the responses to determine the responsive and responsible bidder whose bid offers the best value. All bids may be rejected.

TC-32A Landscape & Irrigation

	Base Bid	Alt #1	Alt. #2	Alt. #3	Alt. #4	Alt. #5	Total
1. NO BIDS							
2.							
3.							
4.							
5.							
6.							

TC-32B Hardscape

	Base Bid	Alt #1	Alt. #2	Alt. #3	Alt. #4	Alt. #5	Total
1. E.C. Matthews Co., Inc.	765,200		85,700				850,900
2.							
3.							
4.							
5.							
6.							

This bid tabulation reflects the results of the public bid opening and reading of the respective project. It does not indicate an award has been made. The University must evaluate the responses to determine the responsive and responsible bidder whose bid offers the best value. All bids may be rejected.

TC-00A Combination Bid Packages

	Base Bid	Alt #1	Alt. #2	Alt. #3	Alt. #4	Alt. #5	Total
1. Churchill McGee, LLC TC's 01B & 09A	1,466,000				91,800		1,557,800
2. E.C. Matthews Co., Inc. TC's 01B; 03A & 32B	2,034,400		85,700	(200)	38,900		2,158,800
3. E.C. Matthews Co. Inc. TC's 01B; 03A; 08B & 32B	2,796,300		85,700	(200)	83,600		2,965,400
4. Mason Structure TC's 04A & 04B	797,000		37,347		4,250		838,597
5. Schiller TC's 08A; 10A & 10C	349,000	73,530			28,810		451,340
6. TC's _____							

This bid tabulation reflects the results of the public bid opening and reading of the respective project. It does not indicate an award has been made. The University must evaluate the responses to determine the responsive and responsible bidder whose bid offers the best value. All bids may be rejected.